CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

DOCKET NO. 00-0583

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

MICHAEL W. TATLOCK

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position.
2		A.	Michael Wayne Tatlock, 500 S. 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62521. I am
3			currently the Area Engineering Supervisor in the Mt. Vernon service area
4	2.	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and professional
5			experience.
6		A.	I graduated from the Rose Hulman Institute of Technology with a
7			Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering in 1985. In
8			November, 2000, I obtained certification as a licensed professional
9			engineer for electrical engineering and in December of 2000, I obtained
10			certification as a power quality professional. Prior to my current position
11			I have held a number of positions in the engineering field for Illinois
12			Power. In April of 1997 I was promoted to Area Engineer Supervisor in
13			the Mt. Vernon service area.
14	3.	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Illinois Commerce Commission
15			("Commission")?
16		A.	No.
17	4.	Q.	In addition to your prepared testimony in IP Exhibit 3.0, are you
18			sponsoring any other exhibits?
19		A.	Yes. IP Exhibits 3.1 through 3.13.
20	5.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
21		A.	In my testimony, I discuss the dispute between Illinois Power and
22			Southeastern relative to the service of a new customer, Sugar Camp Coal,

23			L.L.C., in Illinois Power's service territory. First, I will provide
24			background information. Second, I will establish the location of the
25			Willow Lake Coal Portal No.3 exists within the Illinois Power service
26			territory. Third, I will establish that Illinois Power has the exclusive right
27			to serve this customer. Fourth, I will provide the Illinois Power estimate
28			for costs related to construction of the facilities to serve Willow Lake Coal
29			Mine Portal No. 3.
30		I.	BACKGROUND
31	6.	Q.	Are you familiar with the dispute between Southeastern and Illinois Power
32			relative to the service to the Willow Lake Coal Mine Portal No. 3?
33		A.	Yes.
34	7.	Q.	How did you become involved with this dispute?
35		A.	On February 18, 2000, Dennis Oliver, engineer for Sugar Camp Coal,
36			L.L.C., delivered a correspondence which is identified as "IP Exhibit 3.1".
37			In that correspondence, he indicates he is summarizing the meeting that he
38			attended on behalf of his employer earlier that day.
39	8.	Q.	Did you attend that meeting?
40		A.	Yes. On February 18, 2000, I attended a meeting between Illinois Power
41			and Coal Miners, Inc. Also present at that meeting were Greg Albritton of
42			Illinois Power, and Gene Lynn McGregor, President of Coal Miners, Inc.,
43			Ken Ford, Maintenance Director of Coal Miners, Inc. During our meeting,
44			we learned that Coal Miners, Inc. is a sub-contractor operating mines for

45			Sugar Camp Coal, L.L.C. based in Evansville, Indiana and that Sugar
46			Camp Coal, L.L.C. was owned by Peabody Coal and Black Beauty Coal
47			Companies. Coal Miners, Inc., on behalf of Sugar Camp Coal, L.L.C.
48			requested Illinois Power to provide a 69 kV transmission service delivery
49			to the new Willow Lake Coal Portal No. 3 planned to be constructed near
50			the center of Section 1, Township 9 South, Range 7 East in Saline County
51			Illinois.
52	9.	Q.	Did the representatives of Coal Miners, Inc. and Sugar Camp Coal, L.L.C.
53			discuss any of the specifics of the service required?
54		A.	Yes. Representatives of Coal Miners, Inc. informed Illinois Power that an
55			estimated coincidental peak demand of 15 MW was necessary. Coal
56			Miners, Inc. also indicated that they were aware there would be a cost
57			associated with the construction of the transmission line and Coal Miners,
58			Inc. preferred to spread the cost over the monthly billings.
59	10.	Q.	In addition to the February 18, 2000 correspondence, did you receive any
60			other documents?
61		A.	Yes. Attached hereto as "IP Exhibit 3.2" is one copy of the proposed
62			power line and power drop to the underground line as proposed by the
63			customer. The proposed line shows an interconnection to the
64			Ameren/CIPS 69 kV line.
65	11.	Q.	What, if anything, did you do with the information gathered from Sugar
66			Camp Coal, L.L.C.?

67		A.	I conferred with several representatives of Illinois Power to determine
68			whether or not the customer would be served by Illinois Power or
69			Southeastern. We determined that the location Willow Lake Portal No. 3
70			is located within the service territory of Illinois Power and not
71			Southeastern. In addition to that, we contacted Ameren/CIPS in order to
72			determine whether their 69 kV line could withstand a tap for service of the
73			new additional load.
74	12.	Q.	Did you ever receive a response from Ameren/CIPS?
75		A.	Yes. We were informed by a representative of Ameren/CIPS that the 69
76			kV line could adequately supply the customer's load up to 15 MW.
77	13.	Q.	With regard to the dispute between Southeastern and Illinois Power, what
78			did you do, if anything?
79		A.	Initially, I contacted Robert Perkes, Illinois Municipal & Cooperative
80			Relations Manager in Decatur, in order to confirm whether we were
81			required to provide Southeastern with notice that we had received a
82			written request for service from a potential customer located west of Route
83			1. Mr. Perkes agreed that Illinois Power should notify Southeastern of
84			Illinois Power's intention to serve the new customer, Sugar Camp Coal,
85			L.L.C.
86	14.	Q.	Did there come a point in time when you learned that Sugar Camp Coal,
87			L.L.C. and its interest in mining the Willow Lake Coal Mine Portal No. 3
88			had been purchased or acquired by another company?

Yes. In the spring of 2000, we learned that Arclar Company may have 89 A. acquired the interest in mining at Willow Lake Coal Mine Portal No. 3 in 90 Illinois Power's service territory. At or about that same time, we also 91 learned from Ameren/CIPS that we had the approval to add the new 92 93 customer load to the Ameren/CIPS 69 kV line. 15. Q. In May of 2000, did you also discuss temporary service to the new 94 customer within Illinois Power's service territory? 95 A. Yes. I spoke with representatives of the customer concerning temporary 96 power and further investigated that issue. 97 Π. LOCATION OF CUSTOMER'S PROPOSED SUBSTATION. 98 16. Can you describe the territorial boundary lines between Illinois Power 99 Q. and Southeastern involved in this dispute? 100 101 Α. Yes. The Service Area Agreement ("SAA") entered into on March 19, 1968 establishes in Section IV that "each party shall have the exclusive 102 103 right to serve all customers whose electric service points of delivery are 104 located within its service areas and neither party shall serve a new customer within the service areas of the other party, provided, however 105 106 ..." Attached hereto as "IP Exhibit 3.3" is a copy of the Southeastern 107 map establishing the Electric Supplier Act ("ESA") boundary line in 108 purple ink. Illinois Power agrees with the depiction of the ESA boundary line relative to those sections identified in IP Exhibit 3.3. Attached hereto 109 as "IP Exhibit 3.4" is one copy of the map attached to the SAA. Illinois 110

111			Power also agrees with the depiction of the proposed sub-station site
112			located in Section 1, which reflects a point of delivery in Illinois Power's
113			territory. I do not believe there is a factual dispute as to whether the sub-
114			station site is located within Illinois Power's territory, as delineated in the
115			SAA.
116		III.	SERVICE RIGHTS TO SERVE THIS CUSTOMER.
117	17.	Q.	Have you been able to establish whether Illinois Power has served any
118			premises or property located within Sections 1, 7 or 12, Township 9
119			South, Range 7 East, Cottage Township, Saline County, Illinois prior to or
120			after the effective date of the Service Area Agreement?
121		A.	Yes. Attached hereto as "IP Exhibit 3.5" is one copy of the IP Primary
122			Circuit Map. Exhibits 3.6 through 3.8 are copies of the IP section maps
123			for Sections 1, 7 and 12. "IP Exhibit 3.9" consisting of two pages reveals
124			the current IP distribution lines in Sections 1, 7, and 12. Each of these
125			exhibits reveals five digit numbers which represent transformer
126			identification numbers. Each of these numbers correspond to IP
127			transformers which have been energized in order to provide electric
128			service to IP customers. Attached hereto as "IP Exhibit 3.10" are copies
129			of the Illinois Power Company Transformer Station Records. These maps
130			and Transformer Station Records have been maintained by IP in the
131			normal course of business and represent business records.

132	IP exhibit 3.10 establish the dates upon which the respective transformer		
133	was energized. The following represents the date the respective		
134	transformer was energized:		
135	Transformer Station Record Ser	rvice Commencement	
136	80900 (Section 1)	April 21, 1959	
137	81471 (Section 1)	June 16, 1978	
138	80934 (Section 1)	April 1, 1963	
139	81929 (Section 1)	May 14, 1981	
140	80724 (Section 12)	January 4, 1991	
141	80725 (Section 12)	October 31, 1995	
142	81198 (Section 12)	July 29, 2000	
143	80726 (Section 7)	January 10, 1996	
144	80081 (Section 7)	1982	
145	80732 (Section 7)	November 3, 1973	
146	80891 (Section 7)	July 13, 1993	
147	81453 (Section 7)	August 9, 1973	
148	82289 (Section 7)		
149	By way of illustration, transformer 80900 locate	ed in Section 1 was	
150	installed on April 21, 1959, to serve electric energy to a house on that		
151	property beginning on that date and continuing until February 27, 2001.		
152	Service at transformer 80934 commenced on A	pril 1, 1963 and is still	
153	active.		

154	18. Q.	As of July 2, 1965, did Illinois Power have any distribution lines in
155		Sections 1, 7 or 12. Township (South, Range 7 East, Cottage Township,
156		Saline County, Illinois.
157	A.	Yes. "IP Exhibit 3.4", establishes that IP had at least one 12 kV power line
158		constructed in Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 7 East, Cottage
159		Township in Saline County, and Southeastern did not have any
160		transmission or distribution lines constructed. The area of the proposed
161		substation is highlighted in yellow. IP Exhibit 3.10 establishes that IP
162		served at least two residences on July 2, 1965 in Section 1.
163		In addition, V.W. Wargel owned real estate located within the Southeast
164		Quarter of Section 1 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 12, Township 9
165		North, Range 7 East, Cottage Township, Saline County, Illinois. It is my
166		understanding that Mr. Wargel will testify that his residence, which he
167		acquired from his father, has been served by Illinois Power since his father
168		paid the required deposit of \$5.00 on June 22, 1933. Attached as "IP
169		Exhibit 3. 11" is one copy of the Certificate of Deposit dated June 22,
170		1933
171	19. Q.	Has IP acquired any interest in Certificates of Convenience and Necessity
172		from the Commission?
173		In addition, Illinois Power has acquired an interest and holds a Certificate
174		of Convenience and Necessity from the Illinois Commerce Commission.
175		In Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 4494, the Commission

176			awarded a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Sa	line Electric	
177			Company. Illinois Power subsequently purchased the assets of Saline		
178			Electric Company and now owns title to the Certificate of	Convenience	
179			and Necessity. Attached as "IP Exhibit 3.12" is one copy	of the	
180		Certificate of Convenience and Necessity. The Certificate	authorizes the		
181	construction and operation of a transmission line and distribution system				
182	through Sections 1, 7, and 12, Township 9 South Range 7 East, Cottago				
183			Township, Saline County, Illinois.		
184	20.	Q.	Has Illinois Power assisted in creating demand for the pro	posed service?	
185		A.	Yes. Illinois Power has contributed to economic developm	ent with	
186		membership in the local Chamber of Commerce and involvement with			
187			Main Street Eldorado, Boy Scouts and Illinois Coalition, a	children's	
188			camp.		
189		IV.	ESTIMATED COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION FOR FACI	LITIES TO	
190			SERVE WILLOW LAKE COAL MINE PORTAL NO. 3		
191	21.	Q.	Do you have an estimate as to the cost for the construction of facilities to		
192		provide permanent service to Willow Lake Coal Mine Portal No. 3?		tal No. 3?	
193		A.	Yes. The following is a breakdown of the loaded costs associated with		
194			construction.		
195			9600 KVAR of capacitance to be added	\$175,000	
196			Modification/tap of Ameren/CIPS 69 kV line	23,000	
197			Extend .8 miles of 69 kV to serve customer	162,663	

198			Install 69 kV loadbreak at customer end	17,000
199			Install 69 kV loadbreak at tie in point to Ameren	17,000
200			Install 69 kV primary metering	22,000
201			Right of Way	5,000
202			TOTAL	\$421,663
203	22.	Q.	Has Illinois Power engineered its plan and profile for cons	truction of the
204			69 kV transmission line from the Ameren/CIPS line to the	new customer's
205			proposed substation.	
206		A.	Yes. Illinois Power is ready willing and able to begin cons	truction of the
207			required facilities to serve the new customer. Attached as	"IP Exhibit
208			3.13" and consisting of two pages is the IP plan and profil	e.
209	23.	Q.	Does that conclude your Direct Testimony?	
210		A.	Yes.	
211				

## ATTACHMENT/EXHIBIT

## ITEM TO LARGE TO SCAN COPY AVAILABLE IN CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE